

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,527	07/18/2003	Nobuliro Ichiroku	0171-0993P	1174
2292	7590 02/10/2005	EXAMINER		INER
	EWART KOLASCH &	HAMPTON HIGHTOWER, PATRICIA		
PO BOX 74 FALLS CH	7 URCH, VA 22040-074	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/621,527	ICHIROKU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patricia Hightower	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 I	November 2004.					
. ,	s action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is				
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
•	Mariiner. Note the attached Offic	C Action of format 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Application of the contract	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	٠					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	y (PTO-413) Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/621,527

Art Unit: 1711

Response to Amendment

In view of the applicants' amendment/response filed November 12, 2004 the rejection of the claims under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohmori et al (USP 5,677,393) has been withdrawn. However, the claims are subject to a new ground of rejection under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting rejection over USP 6,645,632 and USP 6,538,093 both newly cited.

Obviousness-type Double Patenting Rejection

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-9 are newly rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,538,093. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant application which claims a polyimide resin having the recurring structural formulae (1) and (2) having a diamine residue having a phenolic hydroxyl radical and a siloxane diamine residue, the polyimide resin composition comprising the polyimide resin and an epoxy resin having

Application/Control Number: 10/621,527

Art Unit: 1711

at least two glycidyl radicals and a coating film utilized as an adhesive comprising the polyimide resin composition coated on a copper foil substrate; is viewed as claiming overlapping subject matter that is not patentably distinct from USP 6,538,093 which claims a polyimide silicone resin, a polyimide silicon resin composition comprising the polyimide silicone resin, an epoxy compound and a catalyst for the epoxy compound and a method of adhering or coating a substrate with the polyimide silicone resin composition as an adhesive or coating material and the resulting product from said method; since both are claiming overlapping reactants, products and utility.

Claims 1-9 are newly rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,645,632. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant application which claims a polyimide resin having the recurring structural formulae (1) and (2) having a diamine residue having a phenolic hydroxyl radical and a siloxane diamine residue, the polyimide resin composition comprising the polyimide resin and an epoxy resin having at least two glycidyl radicals and a coating film utilized as an adhesive comprising the polyimide resin composition coated on a copper foil substrate; is viewed as claiming overlapping subject matter that is not patentably distinct from USP 6,645,632 which claims a film-type adhesive for electronic components consisting essentially of (A) a polyimide resin having the structural formula (1) ,(B) an epoxy resin composition and further (C) an inorganic filler, since both are claiming overlapping reactants and utility.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,527

Art Unit: 1711

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Park and Yoshida are cited to show the state of the art of polyimide resin composition as film adhesive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia Hightower whose telephone number is (571) 272-1073. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:30 A.M. - 6:00 P.M.

Art Unit: 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

P. Hampton Hightower
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1711

P. Hightower:ph February 05, 2005